Phase transition and the packing structure of the side mesogenic groups of liquid crystalline side-chain copolymers

Takanari Yamaguchi¹, Tadahiro Asada^{1,*}, and Naotake Nakamura²

1Department of Polymer Chemistry, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan 2Department of Chemistry, Risumeikan, University, Kyoto 603, Japan

Summary

Copolymers consisting of cholesteryl 11-methacryloyloxyundecanoate (ChMO-IO) and t-buthyl methacrylate (t-BMA) were studied by DSC method and by small angle X-ray scattering method(SAXS). Although homopolymer (pChM0-10) has two different packing structures which are a single-layer packing structure and a two-layer packing one, copolymers (co-pChMO- -10-t-BMA) have only the single layer packing one in their mesophase. Furthermore, transition entropy at a clearing point of $co-PChMO-10-t-BMA$ (80/20) is larger than that of $pChMO-10$. Adequate distance of the mesogenic groups in the direction of a short axis of the mesogenic groups, produced by introduction of non-mesogenic units, seems to stabilize the single-layer packing structure.

Introduction

Liquid crystalline side-chain polymers exhibit several kinds of liquid crystalline phases by adequate length of the spacer(1). Also, adequate lateral distance of side mesogenic groups, produced by introduction of the non-mesogenic units as the liquid crystalline side-chain polymers, probably enables the polymer to exhibit several mesophases. However, liquid crystallinity of the polymers decreases with increasing molar fraction of the non-mesogenic co-monomer in $general(2-4)$.

Liquid crystalline side-chain polymers seem to have much possibility of industrial applications. Recently, many workers take interest in not only liquid crystallinity of the polymers but high function of them. Mesogenic and/or non-mesogenic high functional groups have been introduced as guest particles or as co-monomers to the polymer matrices(5). Much introduction of such functional groups, however, seems to have an adverse effect on the orientation of the side mesogenic groups. In particular, bulky non-mesogenic units as comonomer seem to strongly disturb the orientation of the mesogenic groups.

In the present work, the copolymers consisting of
cholesteryl 11-methacrylovloxyundecanoate (ChMO-10) as 11-methacryloyloxyundecanoate (ChMO-10) as mesogenic monomer and t -buthyl methacrylate (t -BMA) as non-mesogenic groups were prepared. The copolymer, co-pChMO-10-t-BMA, was studied by DSC method and by small angle X-ray scattering method(SAXS) to clarify the effect of

"To whom offprint requests should be sent

bulky non-mesogenic comonomer on the orientation of the mesogenic groups.

Experimental

The general structure of co-pChMO-10-t-BMA is

co-pChMO-10 - # **-BMA**

where x is molar fraction(%) of ChMO-IO as mesogenic unit; i.e. the polymer of x=100 is a homopolymer, pChMO-10. The mesogenic monomer (ChMO-IO) was prepared as described elsewhere (5). For the non-mesogenic monomer, the commercial material was used after the vacuum distillation. Copolymers were polymerized by free radical polymerization and precipitated several times from the benzene solution by dilution. The molar fraction of ChMO-IO in the copolymers was determined by 1_H -NMR method(4). Degrees of polymerization, estimated by GPC method, were listed in Table I.

The thermal analysis were made on DSC(Perkin-Elmer DSC-1B). The scanning speed was 20°C/min. The X-ray investigations were performed with a 6m point-focusing small-angle scattering camera (at the High-Intensity X-ray Laboratory, Kyoto University) with Ni-filtered CuKa radiation. The layer spacings were measured on powder samples in a vacuum chamber equipped with a heating stage.

Results and Discussion

Phase transition temperatures and transition entropies obtained by DSC method were listed in Table I. Homopolymer (pChMO-10) and copolymers(80/20) and (60/40) exhibit smectic A phase(7). The clearing point decreases with decreasing the molar fraction of ChMO-IO. The transition point *(T I)* observed for pChMO-lO was not observed for the copolymers. For $co-pChMO-10-t-BMA$ (40/60) and (20/80), the liquid crystalline phase was not observed. The melting point of the copolymer increases with increasing the fraction of non-mesogenic units, and the transition entropy at the melting point increases also with increasing the fraction of t-BMA. These results support the assumption that the crystalline phase of the pChMO-n is partial crystal of the alkyl spacer and/or the main chain(7). On the other hand, comparing the transition entropy at the clearing point($\Delta S_{c,1}$) with regard to the molar fraction of ChMO-10, ΔS_{c} of the copolymer(80/20) is the largest among the polymers.

X-ray diffraction diagrams of pChMO-10, copolymer(80/20), and copolymer $(60/40)$ were shown in Figure 1, 2, and 3, respectively. As is shown in Fig. 1, a d₁ reflection peak

+ co-pChMO-10-t-BMA, ~ Molar fraction of ChMO-IO estimated by ¹H-NMR; ** Observed only on the 1st heating run; *** a peak on DSC curve is too broad to calculate exactly.

Fig. I. X-ray diffraction diagrams on the 2nd heating run for pChMO-10.

attributable to a two-layer-packing structure and a $\rm{d_{2}}$ reflection peak attributable to a single-layer packing structure are observed in $pChMO-10(8)$. Although the d_1 reflection disappears at T_1 , the d₂ reflection was retained up
to T_{c1} . On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. d₁ T_{c1} . On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, d₁ reflection peak is not observed for the copolymers (80/20) and $(60/40)$, but only d₂ reflection peak with its second order one

Fig. 2. X-ray diffraction diagrams on the 2nd heating run for copolymer(80/20).

Fig. 3. X-ray diffraction diagrams on the 2nd heating run for copolymer(60/40).

Fig. 4. Plots of layer spacing(d₂) against temperature
on the 2nd heating run for; (a) pChMO-10, (b) copolymer 2nd heating run for; (a) $pChMO-10$, (b) copolymer (80/20), (c) copolymer (60/40).

is recognized. These results indicate that the copolymers do not have the two-layer packing structure in their smectic phase. Furthermore, the shape of the d₂ reflection of copolymer (80/20) is slightly sharper than that of pChM0-10. This fact suggests that introduction of the bulky groups seems to have an adverse effect on the formation of the two-layer packing structure. Distance of the mesogenic groups in the direction of the short axis of the mesogenic groups, produced by the introduction of adequate amount of such non-mesogenic groups, seems to favor the formation of the single-layer packing one.

Temperature dependence of layer spacing(d₂) for pChMO-10, copolymer (80/20), and copolymer (60/40) is shown in Figure 4 . Increasing of the layer-spacing(d₂) near $T_{c\,I}$ for each polymers is due to disorder of the packing structure. In the whole temperature range, the layer-spacing(d $_2$) increases with decreasing the molar fraction of ChMO-10. In two-layer packing structure of pChMO-10, the cholesteryl groups of one polymer are surrounded by alkyl spacers of adjacent polymers(Fig. 5-a). In the single-layer packing structure of the cepolymers, however, thermal motion of bulky t -buthyl groups disturbs the tight packing of the side mesogenic groups(Fig. 5-b).

Recalling the comparison of the transition entropy at T_{c} for these three liquid crystalline polymers, the order parameter of the copolymer (80/20) appears to be the largest among three polymers. However, considering the state just above isotropic transition point, it is difficult to compare the ordering of the side- chain orientation of the polymers.

Fig. 5. Schematic illustrations of the single-layer packing structures for the homopolymer(pChM0-10) (a) and copolymers(b), and of the state just above *Tcl* for the homopolymer(a') and the copolymers(b').

In the case of homopolymer, dense attachment of the large mesogenic groups to the main chain disturbs the complete
isotropic motion of the macromolecule just above $T_{c,1}$. motion of the macromolecule just above T_{c} . Non-liquid crystalline organization above *Tcl* of the liquid crystalline side-chain homopolymers having shorter spacers (pChMO-5) has been reported through theological measurements elsewhere (9). In contrast to homopolymer, isotropicalization of the copolymer in which the large mesogenic groups sparsely attach to the main chain should occur smoothly (Fig. 5-b'). Therefore, difference of the transition entropy at T_{c1} between pChM0-10 and eopolymers seems to arise from rather the difference of the state in the isotropic phase than that in the liquid crystalline phase.

References

- I. Finkelmann H.j Koldehoff J., and Ringsdorf H., (1978) Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 17, 935.
- 2. Shibaev V.P., Plate N.A., and Freidzon YA.S., (1979) J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 17, 1655.
- 3. Finkelmann H., Kock Hans-J., and Rehage G., (1981) Makromol. Chem., Rapid. Commun., 2, 317.
- 4- Yamaguchi T., Okada M., Nayashi T., and Nakamura N., (1988) Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 155, 501.
- 5. Leslie T.M., Demartino R.N., Choe E.W., Khanarian G., Haas D., Nelson G., Stamatoff J.B., Stuetz D.E., Teng C.-C., and Yoon H.-N., (1987) Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 155, 451.
- 6. Yamaguchi T., Hayashi T., and Nakamura N., (1987) Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Lett., 5, 23.
- 7. Yamaguchi T. and Asada T., (1990) Liq. Cryst., 8(3), 345.
- 8. Yamaguchi T., Asada T., Hayashi H., and Nakamura N., (1989) Macromolecules, 22, 1141.
- 9. Yamaguchi T. and Asada T., (1990) Chem. Express, $5(6)$, 329.

Accepted December 28, 1990 S